# Language-Level Support for Co-Creative Programming

### Dr. Chris Martens



Expressive Machines

Assistant Professor, Computer Science

**NC STATE** UNIVERSITY



### Motivation: Co-Creative Programming



### **Procedural Content Generation (PCG)**

Generation of art and artifacts through automatic processes

Community: ICCC, PCG Workshop, PROCJAM



# Goals for PCG Language Support

- Make it easier for artists and designers to access PCG techniques.
- Abstractions that support reasoning about generative spaces and their properties
- Provable guarantees about generators: termination, running time, optimality, well-formedness of results, etc.
- Integration with general purpose programming languages

### The Generative Space

http://www.possibilityspace.org/tutorial-generative-possibility-space/



### This Talk's Key Idea

Generative spaces as types support a unified account of grammar-based PCG, pattern matching, and constraint-based synthesis.

# Outline

- Grammar-based PCG
- Deductive grammars (grammars as types)
- In progress: **Sestina** language design
- Future Work

# **Grammar-Based PCG**

### L-systems: biologically-inspired plant generation

F : forward 1 unit





# Tracery (text generation)

Tracery.io

https://beaugunderson.com/tracery-writer

```
"origin": [
        "#color.capitalize# #animal.s# are #often# #mood#.",
        "#animal.a.capitalize# is #often# #mood#, unless it
   is #color.a# one."
 5
     1,
     "often": [
 6
        "rarely",
 8
        "never",
        "often",
 9
        "almost always",
10
        "always",
11
        "sometimes"
12
13
     1,
14
     "color": [
15
        "blue",
16
        "green",
17
        "grey",
18
        "indigo",
19
        "orange",
20
        "purple",
        "turquoise"
21
22
     1,
```



Indigo ducks are almost always courteous. Purple ravens are almost always indignant. A scorpion is always wistful, unless it is a blue one. Green ravens are never courteous. A coyote is never courteous, unless it is a purple one. A lizard is rarely vexed, unless it is a purple one. An owl is always impassioned, unless it is a grey one. A zebra is never vexed, unless it is a turguoise one. Turquoise ducks are rarely courteous. An owl is often impassioned, unless it is an orange one.

# Tracery (text generation)

#### Cheap Bots Done Quick





### **Recursive Story Grammar**

"origin": ["Once upon a time, #story#"]

"story": ["#hero# the #heroJob# #setSailForAdventure#. **#openBook#**"]

**"openBook"**: ["An old #occupation# told #hero# a story. 'Listen well' she said to #heroThem#, 'to this #strange# #tale#. ' #origin#'","#hero# went home.","#hero# found an ancient book and opened it. As #heroThey# read, the book told #strange.a# #tale#: **#origin#**"]

### **Environment bindings**

"origin": ["Once upon a time, #[#setCharacter#]story#"]

"setCharacter": ["[#setPronouns#][hero:#name#][heroJob:#occupation#]"]

"setPronouns":

["[heroThey:they][heroThem:them][heroTheir:their][heroTheirs:theirs]","[heroThey:she][heroThem:her][heroTheir:her][heroTheirs:hers]","[heroThey:he][ heroThem:him][heroTheir:his][heroTheirs:his]"]

"setSailForAdventure": ["set sail for adventure","left #heroTheir# home","set out for adventure","went to seek #heroTheir# forture"]

### Example of a bug

#heroThey# when not defined....

Nondeterministic => only see it sometimes...

Goal: use types to eliminate errors like this

# **Deductive Grammars**

### Grammars, formally

 $G = \langle N, \Sigma, P, S \rangle$ 

N nonterminals, e.g. Origin, Color
 ∑ terminals, e.g. "cat", "hello", …
 P production rules, e.g.
 "Origin -> Color Animal are Often Mood"
 S start symbol, e.g. Origin

Grammars, formally

```
Grammar expressions

\alpha ::= \epsilon |A\alpha|t\alpha (for A in N, t in Sigma)

Strings s ::= \epsilon |t|e^{e}

Judgment "s matches \alpha"
```

### **Deductive Grammars**

Nonterminals N correspond to named types

Type checking:

# A-> $\alpha$ in P e matches $\alpha$ e : A

### **Deductive Parsing**

**Principles and Implementation of Deductive Parsing** Shieber et al., J. Logic Programming 1995

- 1. Existing logics can be used as a basis for new grammar formalisms with desirable representational or computational properties.
- 2. The modular separation of parsing into a logic of grammaticality claims and a proof search procedure allows the investigation of a wide range of parsing algorithms for existing grammar formalisms by selecting specific classes of grammaticality claims and specific search procedures.

### **Deductive Grammars**

Beyond strings -- derived rules for sums and products

A -> e1 | ... | en

==>

#### defprop A = OR {TAG1 : |e1| ... TAGn : |en|}

Expansion alternatives as sums, string concat generalized to products

### **Deductive Grammars**

Beyond strings -- derived rules for sums and products

A -> e1 | ... | en

==>

#### defprop A = OR {TAG1 : |e1| ... TAGn : |en|}

Expansion alternatives as sums, string concat generalized to products

**Why?** So we can **pattern match and project** on generated data with static safety & coverage guarantees

# Sestina Language Design

# **Finite Types**

Acknowledgements: Tiannan Chen and Stephen Guy for the example (check out their C++ embedded DSL, GIGL!)

Base types: sets of strings, ranges of numbers

Sums, products, and unit

### **Case Analysis and Projection**

### Pronouns example

```
story : string =
  LETGEN
   heroName : name,
   pronouns : pronouns,
   CONCAT
    "Our hero ", heroName, " went into the dungeon to find treasure.",
   pronouns.they, " descended into the final cave, drew ",
   pronouns.their, " sword, and fought the beast who faced ",
   pronouns.them, "."
```

### Pronouns example: subtyping/singleton types?

gentype pronoun\_set = AND {they: string, them: string, their: string}

they\_pronouns <: pronoun\_set = AND {they: "they", them: "them", their: "their"}
she\_pronouns <: pronoun\_set = AND {they: "she", them: "her", their: "her"}
he\_pronouns <: pronoun\_set = AND {they: "he", them: "him", their: "his"}</pre>

pronouns <: pronoun\_set = OR {they\_pronouns, she\_pronouns, he\_pronouns}</pre>

### Shuffling as a stream

```
(* Primitive for shuffling: turn any gentype into a random
stream
    shuffle : t:gentype => (unit -> t option) *)
<u>val</u> draw = shuffle card
(* Turn a finite type into a list *)
<u>fun</u> addAll () =
    <u>case</u> draw() <u>of</u>
    SOME c => c::(addAll ())
    | NONE => []
```

### Implementation and status

Embedded DSL in Standard ML

https://github.com/chrisamaphone/sestina

Tiny 68-line interpreter (no external syntax yet)

Sums, products, string/range base types, projection, case analysis/pattern matching through SML

Design goals: syntax, recursive types, type signatures, optional labels, base type operations, more base types

# Future Work

### **Probabilities and distributions**

(GIGL Syntax)

```
generate DungeonMonster with <* DungeonMonster:
   Monster := weak @ {0.6} | strong @ {0.4},
   Weapon := club @ {0.7} | flail @ {0.3} *>;
```

How likely is a weak monster with a club?

What is the expected value of the monster's attack damage?

### Constructive vs. Subtractive Methods

Grammars: pros: easy to author. Cons: hard to control/refine to only produce the things you want.

A common approach:

- Use a grammar to define a possibility space
- Use a **search-based method** to search through that space for exemplars which meet certain constraints or optimize certain criteria (genetic algorithms, constraint programming, etc.)

### Constructive vs. Subtractive Methods



### Dependent Range Types

Acknowledgements: Tiannan Chen and Stephen Guy for the example (check out their C++ embedded DSL, GIGL!)

Use case for dependent types

gentype range(min,max) = Sigma n:nat. <geq n min, leq n max>

```
<u>gentype</u> weakRange = range(1,4)
```

<u>val</u> rageDmg : range(8,11) = <u>letgen</u> d:weakRange <u>in</u> d+7

```
(* Expanded: *)
```

Letgen {n=dmg, minproof : geq dmg 1, maxproof : leq dmg 4} : weakRange in {d+7, geq\_succ^7 minproof, leq\_succ^7 maxproof}

### Mixed-initiative program construction

Generation at coding time vs. at running time



# Running partial programs

	Kate Compton @GalaxyKate · 15 Dec 2018 Yeah, I have a whole dissertation chapter on Casual Creator programming languages, and 90% of it is graceful handling of errors. Either allowing partial specification (ie Tracery's [symbol] notation) or stubbing in defaults or just shrugging and compiling as best you can.								
	<b>♀</b> 2		, 1	Ø	15		Kate Compton       Following         @GalaxyKate       Following         Replying to @GalaxyKate @chrisamaphone and 3 others         We'd have a much smaller internet if 90s web pages failed to load if you didn't close a tag.         12:54 PM - 15 Dec 2018		
							3 Retweets 20 Likes	IN I	B 🚳 🌒 😂

### Some other things that are cool and exist

- Agda, Hazel (typed holes and partial program synthesis)
- Type-driven Program Synthesis Osera, Polikarpova
- PCG Languages: GIGL, Tracery, Marahel

### This Talk's Key Idea

Generative spaces as types support a unified account of grammar-based PCG, pattern matching, and constraint-based synthesis. Long-term mission

# Enable programming as a co-creative activity through language, editor, and tool design

### Thanks!



Chris Martens @chrisamaphone on Twitter <u>contextadventure@gmail.com</u> <u>http://qo.ncsu.edu/martens</u>

Principles of Expressive Machines

Sestina: https://github.com/chrisamaphone/sestina

# **Extra Slides**

### Functional programming and PCG

Instead of an effectful, nondeterministic unit -> A

```
params -> T A
```

...where T A is the possibility space

E.g.: probability distribution

Sampling is an effectful (random) operation

 $\frac{\Gamma \vdash M: \bigcirc A \quad \Gamma, x: A \vdash E \div B}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{sample} \ x \ \mathsf{from} \ M \ \mathsf{in} \ E \div B} \ \mathsf{Bind}$ 

A Probabilistic Language based upon Sampling Functions

Sungwoo Park Computer Science and Engineering Department Pohang University of Science and Technology gla@postech.ac.kr Computer Science Department Sebastian Thrun Computer Science Department Stanford University thrun@stanford.edu